

# Racial Targeting and Population Control

By Mark Crutcher | President, Life Dynamics Incorporated

Carole Novielli | Researcher

Renee Hobbs | Production Assistant

## Abstract

In the summer of 2009, Life Dynamics released the documentary film, *Maafa 21*. Its basic contention is that terms like “population control” and “family planning” are code words for a genocidal effort aimed at minorities – primarily African-Americans.

Among the many revelations documented in this film is that, as far back as the mid 1900s, some well-known eugenicists were arguing that the most effective way they could advance their agenda would be to concentrate population control facilities within the targeted communities. Perhaps the most noted proponent of this concept was Gunnar Myrdal who discussed it in his 1944 book, [An American Dilemma: The Negro Problem and Modern Democracy](#).

In *Maafa 21*, evidence is presented that Planned Parenthood and others within the abortion and family planning lobby took this approach when choosing locations for their facilities and that this practice continues to this day.

The film also cites a study conducted by three American university researchers into the criteria used to decide the placement of U.S. population control facilities. Their finding was that the primary consideration in making this determination is not poverty but the percentage of blacks in the area.

The initial response to this documentary from people defending these organizations was to claim that they focus their facilities on minority neighborhoods because that is where the need is. Almost immediately, however, they saw that this argument was creating a problem for them. Simply put, it is incompatible with one of their other arguments.

For years, these people have consistently argued that the most effective way to reduce the number of abortions is to prevent unplanned pregnancies by making birth control chemicals, devices and information widely available. If that is true, in light of their concession that they have focused these things on the minority community, the obvious result should be that black women have the lowest pregnancy and abortion rates in the country.

But to the contrary, in contemporary America, the rate of pregnancy among black women is almost three times as high as it is for white women and, though they make up less than 13% of the female population, black women have about 37% of the abortions. In other words, the family planning lobby’s argument that they concentrate their facilities in minority communities because that is where the need is, cannot be reconciled with their long espoused claim about the connection between contraception, pregnancy and abortion.

Seeing that they had painted themselves into this corner, their options were to either abandon the assertion that contraception is the way to reduce abortion rates, or reverse field and start denying that their facilities are disproportionately placed into minority neighborhoods.

They chose the latter.

Virtually overnight, they went from claiming that they target minority communities with noble intentions to claiming that they don’t target them at all. Then, to support this revised strategy, they began quoting a new report by the Alan Guttmacher Institute (AGI) showing that only one in 10 Planned Parenthood clinics is located in a minority community.

Next, the media began publishing articles citing these stats in which AGI was routinely characterized as an independent entity. This was obviously done to give the stats an aura of credibility. It was also done despite the fact that the American media is fully aware that AGI is not an independent agency but is, instead, the research arm of Planned Parenthood and receives funding from Planned Parenthood. In fact, Alan Guttmacher was once the president of Planned Parenthood and vice-president of the American Eugenics Society.

In this process, the media consistently quoted AGI's stats at face value without questioning their accuracy or the methods by which they were derived.

The reality is, the research in AGI's report had been manipulated to yield pre-determined results. For example, only facilities that actually perform abortions were included. However, every Planned Parenthood facility in America either does abortions or refers for them, and in the chain of events that lead to an abortion each entity is equally responsible.

It should also be pointed out that, in *Maafa 21*, the charge is that the genocide in question is being carried out with both abortion and birth control. Given that every Planned Parenthood facility provides one or both, AGI had no legitimate rationale for excluding any of them from its data.

In a transparent effort to narrow the field even more, AGI also chose to only include facilities that perform over 400 abortions per year. Again, this was an arbitrary and inexplicable decision given that no commonly accepted principle or industry standard establishes that a facility doing 400 abortions is statistically relevant while one that does 399 is not.

To appreciate the deception being perpetrated here, imagine a hypothetical scenario in which 10 of the 12 Planned Parenthood facilities in a state are located in predominately black ZIP codes. Two of those 10 facilities perform fewer than 400 abortions per year each. The remaining eight do not do abortions but refer their clients to abortion clinics located outside

these predominately black ZIP codes. The problem is that, according to the formula used in the AGI study, not one of these Planned Parenthood facilities would show up in their report as being located in the black community despite the reality that 83% of them were located there.

Clearly, AGI was "cooking the books." They knew Planned Parenthood's denial of racial targeting could not be sustained as long as certain large segments of their facilities were included in their statistics. So AGI cobbled together this absurd formula to make those facilities become statistically invisible.

### **Methodology**

Historically, the population control movement's eugenic efforts have been primarily focused on the African-American community and that was the underlying theme of *Maafa 21*. In our research, however, we have seen unmistakable evidence that the family planning establishment is also ratcheting up its efforts to deal with the Hispanic population.

For that reason, this report was expanded to include them as well. Our mission was to document whether the population control movement has targeted black and/or Hispanic communities by placing facilities in areas where those minority populations are disproportionately represented.

We began by creating a database of the ZIP codes for every Planned Parenthood facility in the United States. This list was generated from Planned Parenthood's website. We then used United States Census Bureau figures to establish the percentage of black and Hispanic people residing within each of these ZIP codes as well as within each state.

The resulting data allowed us to calculate how often these ZIP codes contain a higher proportion of blacks and/or Hispanics than the state in which they are located. Of equal importance is that this data also gave us the size of those disparities. The results of those calculations are given in a chart found later in this report.

Within the American population control movement, Planned Parenthood is not the only player. Their efforts are augmented by hundreds of independent abortion clinics. These facilities are found nationwide and are generally affiliated with trade associations like the National Abortion Federation or the National Coalition of Abortion Providers.

For this report, a second database was created of these facilities with their locations being subjected to the same “racial targeting” evaluation as the Planned Parenthood locations. Those results are in a second chart. (Since some Planned Parenthood facilities are also members of the National Abortion Federation or the National Coalition of Abortion Providers, we took measures to make certain that these two charts were purged of duplicates.)

### **Accuracy**

Any study of this nature includes several factors that can lead to errors. ZIP codes change; facilities close; new facilities open; facilities move to new locations; facilities change their names; some operate under multiple names and/or addresses, etcetera. It is also true that, in the highly unstable world of abortion clinics and population control facilities, these problems are even more pronounced.

Considering the high number of potential mistake-causing areas, we made certain that once our data was gathered and calculated, it was checked, rechecked and checked again.

One aspect of this study is that, due to the high volume of entries and calculations necessary to create these charts – approximately 3000 – it would take a lot of errors to significantly alter the overall results. Fortunately, the system we employed made sure that errors simply don’t exist in those numbers.

Another factor supporting the study’s accuracy is the fact that it was universal. It is common and accepted practice for those conducting this kind of research to examine a “representative sample” of the whole and then issue an analysis based on data extrapolated

from that sample. We did not do that and, instead, included every facility in the country. This eliminated any claim that we used “selective inclusion or selective exclusion” to control the results.

It should also be noted that all of our raw data came from the United States Census Bureau and is, thus, insulated against bias. The only limitation this source presented is that there is a lag time between when the government takes a census and when that information is compiled, analyzed and released to the public. For that reason, the latest information available to us was from the 2000 census. Although we would have preferred newer data, as a practical matter, the racial makeup of ZIP codes where these facilities are located would not change enough from one census to the next to have any material impact on our results.

The bottom line is, if there are errors, correcting them would be as likely to reinforce our findings as refute them. Additionally, in either case, they would be neither numerous enough nor large enough to substantially alter the overall findings.

### **The Charts**

The first chart identifies the locations of Planned Parenthood population control facilities. Even though Planned Parenthood operates the largest chain of abortion clinics in the United States, it is not the case that every facility included here does abortions. However, among those that do not, every one refers for abortion, schedules abortions, or otherwise arranges abortions. In addition, each facility provides contraceptive drugs and/or devices.

As stated earlier, the second chart is for independent abortion clinics that are not affiliated with Planned Parenthood. In both charts, every state’s name is followed by their overall percentage of black and Hispanic populations. The “**ZIP**” column contains the ZIP codes in which population control facilities are located in that state. If a ZIP code is listed multiple times, it means there were that many facilities in that ZIP code.

The “**BLACK**” column gives the percentage of African-Americans who live in this ZIP code and the “**COMP**” column compares this percentage against the state’s overall percentage of African-Americans. When the “**COMP**” column is blank, it means that this ZIP code did not exceed the state’s percentage of black population. The last two columns provide the same data for the Hispanic population.

As an example of how these charts work, the state of Florida shows to have a black population of 14.6%. In that state, Planned Parenthood has a facility in ZIP code 33617 which has a black population of 27.4%. This means that this facility is located in a ZIP code with an African-American population that is 187.6% that of the state.

In reviewing this data, there are several things to keep in mind. The first is that the issue is not whether a ZIP code is *predominately* black or Hispanic but whether it is *disproportionately* black or Hispanic. Second, any infrequent or small gaps between the percentage of minorities in a ZIP code and the percentage of minorities in the corresponding state can be dismissed as “statistically meaningless.”

But when those gaps are common enough or large enough that they cannot be rationally attributed to random chance, they constitute a pattern that could have only been created by design and intention.

The question then becomes: at what point does a gap stop being insignificant and start being significant? Given the subjective nature of that question, the deciding principle has to be common sense. If a ZIP code’s percentage of black or Hispanic population is 102% of the state’s percentage, no reasonable person would consider that an indicator of racial targeting.

However, when a state has multiple population control facilities in ZIP codes with minority populations that exceed 125% of the state’s overall minority population, common sense says that racial targeting is the plausible explanation.

As the charts in this report will show, such a pattern is readily identifiable in the locations of America’s family planning and population control facilities. It should also be pointed out that these patterns are routinely considered indicative of racial targeting when it comes to other issues.

For example, African-Americans suffer far more of the health problems associated with tobacco use than does the white population. Civil rights leaders have long contended that this is a direct result of the fact that large tobacco companies target a disproportionate percentage of their advertising and promotional efforts on the black community. In just one of many examples of this, research conducted relative to a lawsuit in Baltimore, Maryland, documented that 76% of the billboards in black neighborhoods featured tobacco advertising while that number was only 20% in white neighborhoods.

A parallel situation has also been identified with alcohol. The catastrophic social and health problems associated with alcoholism are found in the black community to a much higher extent than they are in the white community. And again, black leaders have spent years pointing out that a primary cause of this is the fact that liquor merchandisers place a disproportionate percentage of their retail outlets in black neighborhoods. The visual evidence of this can be seen, first hand, by driving through predominately minority neighborhoods in almost any decent-sized city in America.

It is also claimed that the alcohol content of some beverages marketed in these areas is measurably higher than the alcohol content of similar products sold elsewhere, and that certain cheap high-alcohol-content products are sold only in liquor stores and convenience stores in black neighborhoods.

There are many other examples of this sort of racial targeting. Moreover, the disastrous effects it has had on the targeted communities are easy to see.

The encouraging thing is that, in recent years, some of the corporations responsible for this have been

dragged into the public spotlight by the news media. A few have even found themselves in lawsuits and Congressional hearings.

the minority community than these corporations, they have been left free to push their eugenics agenda without scrutiny.

The glaring exception is America’s family planning industry. Despite having more potential to destroy

As the following charts show, when it comes to racial targeting, these people are not amateurs.

## CHART 1 – PLANNED PARENTHOOD LOCATIONS

**NOTE:** In this chart as well as the one that follows, some ZIP codes show a percentage of minorities that exceeds 100%. This occurred because a small number of Hispanics identify themselves as both black and Hispanic and are, therefore, counted twice by the Census Bureau. This phenomenon is rare and was identified in fewer than 10 of the ZIP codes included in this study.

Black Population 26.0%  
Hispanic Population 1.7%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
35205	40.0 %	153.8 %	2.6 %	152.9 %
36609	28.4 %	109.2 %	2.1 %	123.5 %

Black Population 3.5%  
Hispanic Population 4.1%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
99508	9.0 %	257.1 %	7.6 %	185.3 %
99669	0.3 %		2.2 %	
99701	7.8 %	222.8 %	4.4 %	107.3 %
99801	0.8 %		3.4 %	
99835	0.3 %		3.3 %	

Black Population 3.1%  
Hispanic Population 25.3%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
85031	4.9 %	158.0 %	62.8 %	248.2 %
85032	1.7 %		19.1 %	
85204	2.4 %		30.0 %	118.5 %
85224	3.5 %	112.9 %	15.1 %	
85251	1.6 %		13.5 %	
85281	3.0 %		25.2 %	
85304	2.8 %		12.1 %	
85338	3.5 %	112.9 %	19.8 %	
85364	2.7 %		55.1 %	217.7 %

[ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
85705	3.5 %	112.9 %	32.4 %	128.0 %
85712	4.0 %	129.0 %	19.3 %	
85713	6.2 %	200.0 %	62.1 %	254.4 %
86001	1.7 %		12.2 %	
86305	0.3 %		5.3 %	

Black Population 15.7%  
Hispanic Population 3.2%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
72204	69.1 %	440.1 %	3.1 %	
72703	4.1 %		4.1 %	128.1 %

Black Population 6.7%  
Hispanic Population 32.4%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
90003	32.2 %	480.5 %	66.4 %	204.9 %
90007	12.8 %	191.0 %	58.2 %	179.6 %
90016	47.9 %	714.9 %	44.2 %	136.4 %
90022	0.5 %		96.3 %	297.2 %
90029	2.8 %		61.0 %	188.2 %
90033	1.5 %		92.3 %	284.8 %
90033	1.5 %		92.3 %	284.8 %
90041	2.3 %		38.6 %	119.1 %
90260	11.5 %	171.6 %	50.0 %	154.3 %

**CALIFORNIA** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
90401	4.2 %		12.3 %	
90602	1.3 %		68.4 %	211.1 %
90713	3.1 %		17.3 %	
90806	20.5 %	305.9 %	43.3 %	133.9 %
91104	14.4 %	214.9 %	36.9 %	113.8 %
91303	4.0 %		59.4 %	183.3 %
91320	1.2 %		13.8 %	
91405	6.0 %		58.8 %	181.4 %
91506	1.7 %		23.9 %	
91732	0.8 %		71.5 %	220.6 %
91767	14.0 %	208.9 %	58.5 %	180.5 %
91786	8.8 %	131.3 %	33.2 %	102.4 %
91801	1.7 %		33.1 %	102.1 %
91911	4.5 %		60.4 %	186.4 %
92008	0.9 %		17.9 %	
92021	3.8 %		17.9 %	
92025	2.1 %		48.5 %	149.6 %
92101	10.3 %	153.7 %	22.2 %	
92105	15.1 %	225.3 %	48.4 %	149.3 %
92108	5.5 %		13.0 %	
92109	1.4 %		10.6 %	
92109	1.4 %		10.6 %	
92111	5.4 %		22.1 %	
92114	29.0 %	432.8 %	30.0 %	
92115	10.9 %	162.6 %	24.4 %	
92126	5.3 %		11.1 %	
92236	0.4 %		97.2 %	300.0 %
92270	0.8 %		9.4 %	
92408	14.4 %	214.9 %	44.5 %	137.3 %
92506	5.6 %		19.1 %	
92553	20.6 %	307.4 %	45.3 %	139.8 %
92627	1.1 %		41.2 %	127.1 %
92683	1.0 %		21.6 %	
92691	1.1 %		13.7 %	
92705	1.5 %		33.8 %	104.3 %
92805	1.7 %		74.0 %	228.3 %
92866	1.8 %		33.0 %	101.8 %
93003	1.5 %		19.9 %	
93101	1.9 %		48.1 %	148.4 %
93301	11.3 %	168.6 %	31.8 %	
93401	1.3 %		11.3 %	
93454	2.2 %		40.9 %	126.2 %
93637	2.8 %		53.4 %	164.8 %
93710	6.3 %		24.4 %	
93728	4.5 %		48.9 %	150.9 %
93901	6.8 %	101.4 %	40.6 %	125.3 %
93927	1.1 %		84.5 %	260.8 %
93955	15.1 %	225.3 %	35.0 %	108.0 %
94040	2.0 %		17.2 %	
94086	2.6 %		20.5 %	
94110	4.2 %		46.1 %	142.2 %
94509	9.7 %	144.7 %	22.1 %	
94520	4.5 %		39.8 %	122.8 %
94530	8.4 %	125.3 %	7.9 %	
94533	16.0 %	238.8 %	20.3 %	
94559	0.6 %		31.5 %	
94565	17.1 %	255.2 %	33.9 %	104.6 %
94583	1.9 %		7.2 %	

**CALIFORNIA** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
94590	25.3 %	377.6 %	22.1 %	
94596	1.4 %		7.7 %	
94804	44.6 %	665.6 %	22.6 %	
94806	23.2 %	346.2 %	35.5 %	109.5 %
94941	1.1 %		3.7 %	
95020	1.6 %		51.1 %	157.7 %
95023	1.1 %		49.5 %	152.7 %
95060	1.6 %		17.9 %	
95076	0.7 %		63.8 %	196.9 %
95121	5.0 %		30.5 %	
95123	4.1 %		20.5 %	
95126	4.7 %		37.1 %	114.5 %
95127	3.6 %		53.6 %	165.4 %
95205	8.7 %	129.8 %	58.0 %	179.0 %
95207	10.1 %	150.7 %	23.8 %	
95336	2.3 %		23.9 %	
95348	6.8 %	101.4 %	35.6 %	109.8 %
95350	3.6 %		19.8 %	
95376	6.6 %		27.0 %	
95405	1.2 %		8.8 %	
95422	5.1 %		11.0 %	
95503	0.9 %		5.4 %	
95660	12.1 %	180.5 %	16.7 %	
95661	1.3 %		7.9 %	
95687	12.3 %	183.5 %	16.4 %	
95695	1.2 %		35.5 %	109.5 %
95814	13.6 %	202.9 %	19.7 %	
95816	4.9 %		14.1 %	
95820	11.9 %	177.6 %	35.8 %	110.4 %
95973	1.0 %		10.6 %	
95991	2.6 %		26.0 %	
96002	1.0 %		5.7 %	
96093	0.2 %		4.3 %	
96145	0.4 %		3.1 %	

Black Population 3.8 %  
Hispanic Population 17.1 %

**COLORADO**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
80003	1.0 %		15.0 %	
80012	19.0 %	500.0 %	16.9 %	
80129	1.2 %		8.4 %	
80134	0.9 %		4.8 %	
80207	56.3 %	1481.5 %	15.4 %	
80218	6.6 %	173.6 %	11.4 %	
80224	9.5 %	250.0 %	10.5 %	
80232	0.9 %		15.6 %	
80302	1.1 %		4.7 %	
80446	1.3 %		3.8 %	
80501	0.5 %		22.7 %	132.7 %
80521	1.3 %		10.8 %	
80634	0.7 %		15.8 %	
80477	0.1 %		3.1 %	
80907	2.3 %		11.3 %	
80917	5.3 %	139.4 %	8.8 %	
81008	1.4 %		30.5 %	178.3 %
81050	1.1 %		36.5 %	213.4 %

**COLORADO** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
81101	1.0 %		43.0 %	251.4 %
81201	0.1 %		8.6 %	
81303 *				
81321	0.2 %		11.3 %	
81601	0.2 %		15.2 %	

**CONNECTICUT**

Black Population 9.1 %  
Hispanic Population 9.4 %

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
06040	8.4 %		6.5 %	
06052	6.6 %		14.1 %	150.0 %
06082	5.6 %		3.7 %	
06110	10.1 %	110.9 %	13.4 %	142.5 %
06112	86.6 %	951.6 %	9.3 %	
06226	6.1 %		32.3 %	343.6 %
06239	1.6 %		2.6 %	
06320	18.6 %	204.3 %	19.7 %	209.5 %
06360	6.3 %		5.5 %	
06451	6.0 %		22.1 %	235.1 %
06475	1.0 %		1.9 %	
06484	1.1 %		3.5 %	
06511	46.1 %	506.5 %	11.2 %	119.1 %
06604	26.7 %	293.4 %	32.4 %	344.6 %
06708	7.7 %		12.0 %	127.6 %
06790	22.1 %	242.8 %	3.3 %	
06810	7.0 %		21.1 %	224.4 %
06902	23.6 %	259.3 %	25.1 %	267.0 %

**DELAWARE**

Black Population 19.2 %  
Hispanic Population 4.8 %

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
19711	5.6 %		4.4 %	
19801	82.9 %	431.7 %	6.7 %	139.5 %
19901	28.5 %	148.4 %	4.4 %	
19971	5.9 %		2.2 %	

**FLORIDA**

Black Population 14.6 %  
Hispanic Population 16.8 %

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
32207	20.9 %	143.2 %	4.7 %	
32250	4.4 %		2.9 %	
32304	36.5 %	250.0 %	6.0 %	
32601	23.5 %	160.9 %	6.7 %	
32805	78.8 %	539.7 %	5.0 %	
32817	5.0 %		20.3 %	120.8 %
32960	5.4 %		6.2 %	
33024	9.8 %		30.8 %	183.3 %
33145	1.6 %		84.9 %	505.3 %
33161	56.3 %	385.6 %	21.3 %	126.7 %

**FLORIDA** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
33176	17.9 %	122.6 %	40.1 %	238.6 %
33409	22.1 %	151.3 %	17.1 %	101.7 %
33434	1.2 %		5.1 %	
33463	9.3 %		22.2 %	132.1 %
33617	27.4 %	187.6 %	13.9 %	
33702	2.8 %		5.0 %	
33803	5.0 %		7.0 %	
33881	26.9 %	184.2 %	4.2 %	
33919	1.4 %		4.0 %	
34103	0.7 %		7.8 %	
34142	18.3 %	125.3 %	67.4 %	401.1 %
34203	8.9 %		12.5 %	
34236	10.5 %		15.1 %	
34994	12.3 %		6.4 %	

**GEORGIA**

Black Population 28.7 %  
Hispanic Population 5.3 %

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
30043	10.3 %		5.4 %	101.8 %
30062	8.0 %		4.5 %	
30303	76.3 %	265.8 %	5.1 %	
30901	86.8 %	302.4 %	1.1 %	
31405	49.4 %	172.1 %	2.4 %	

**HAWAII**

Black Population 1.8 %  
Hispanic Population 7.2 %

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
96732	0.2 %		8.2 %	113.8 %
96740	0.4 %		7.8 %	108.3 %
96814	1.3 %		3.7 %	

**IDAHO**

Black Population 0.4 %  
Hispanic Population 7.9 %

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
83301	0.2 %		8.5 %	107.5 %
83703	0.5 %	125.0 %	4.2 %	

**ILLINOIS**

Black Population 15.1 %  
Hispanic Population 12.3 %

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
60462	0.6 %		4.0 %	
60504	7.5 %		7.4 %	
60603	2.1 %		7.4 %	
60610	27.1 %	179.4 %	3.9 %	
60622	10.9 %		45.2 %	367.4 %
60628	94.8 %	627.8 %	3.1 %	
60636	97.8 %	647.6 %	1.2 %	

\* Statistics for this ZIP code were not available.

**ILLINOIS** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
60651	70.6 %	467.5 %	26.8 %	217.8 %
60660	17.8 %	117.8 %	20.8 %	169.1 %
61350	1.1 %		4.5 %	
61554	2.0 %		1.2 %	
61603	32.1 %	212.5 %	4.9 %	
61701	10.0 %		3.7 %	
61820	16.1 %	106.6 %	5.5 %	
62226	14.5 %		1.5 %	
62401	0.3 %		1.0 %	
62526	15.3 %	101.3 %	1.3 %	
62703	32.8 %	217.2 %	1.2 %	

Black Population 8.4 %  
Hispanic Population 3.5 %

**INDIANA**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
46123	0.4 %		1.4 %	
46208	68.1 %	810.7 %	1.0 %	
46227	2.5 %		3.3 %	
46229	19.8 %	235.7 %	2.8 %	
46250	7.5 %		3.4 %	
46268	27.9 %	332.1 %	4.0 %	114.2 %
46312	36.1 %	429.7 %	51.6 %	1474.2 %
46324	9.3 %	110.7 %	17.0 %	485.7 %
46360	19.3 %	229.7 %	2.7 %	
46383	1.2 %		3.1 %	
46408	55.5 %	660.7 %	7.4 %	211.4 %
46410	25.1 %	298.8 %	9.4 %	268.5 %
46516	15.9 %	189.2 %	14.1 %	402.8 %
46545	4.8 %		3.2 %	
46580	1.1 %		7.3 %	208.5 %
46804	2.5 %		2.4 %	
47150	6.5 %		1.3 %	
47170	0.1 %		0.8 %	
47203	2.0 %		1.6 %	
47250	1.7 %		1.1 %	
47274	0.8 %		3.6 %	102.8 %
47304	3.9 %		1.3 %	
47374	7.2 %		1.7 %	
47403	3.3 %		1.4 %	
47421	0.5 %		1.1 %	
47711	2.5 %		0.9 %	
47807	16.0 %	190.4 %	1.6 %	
47905	2.2 %		6.4 %	182.8 %

Black Population 2.1 %  
Hispanic Population 2.8 %

**IOWA**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
50014	2.5 %	119.0 %	2.1 %	
50023	0.8 %		1.1 %	
50138	0.9 %		0.8 %	
50208	1.3 %		1.3 %	
50311	9.3 %	442.8 %	6.1 %	217.8 %
50315	3.0 %	142.8 %	6.4 %	228.5 %
50315	3.0 %	142.8 %	6.4 %	228.5 %

**IOWA** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
50322	1.7 %		1.8 %	
50501	4.4 %	209.5 %	2.9 %	103.5 %
50588	0.4 %		18.3 %	653.5 %
50613	1.5 %		1.0 %	
50801	0.3 %		1.1 %	
51106	0.9 %		4.9 %	175.0 %
51301	0.2 %		1.3 %	
51501	1.3 %		5.6 %	200.0 %
51566	0.1 %		1.8 %	
52001	1.4 %		1.9 %	
52246	4.7 %	223.8 %	2.9 %	103.5 %
52353	0.5 %		3.9 %	139.2 %
52402	2.9 %	138.0 %	1.7 %	
52601	4.5 %	214.2 %	1.9 %	
52627	4.0 %	190.4 %	4.8 %	171.4 %
52632	3.4 %	161.9 %	1.0 %	
52641	2.3 %	109.5 %	1.5 %	
52722	1.5 %		2.4 %	

Black Population 5.7 %  
Hispanic Population 7.0 %

**KANSAS**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
66211	1.5 %		1.2 %	
67214	55.4 %	971.9 %	18.8 %	268.5 %
67601	0.8 %		2.6 %	

Black Population 7.3 %  
Hispanic Population 1.5 %

**KENTUCKY**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
40203	62.1 %	850.6 %	1.5 %	
40475	5.4 %		1.0 %	
40508	31.7 %	434.2 %	3.8 %	253.3 %

Black Population 32.5 %  
Hispanic Population 2.4 %

**LOUISIANA**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
70115	51.0 %	156.9 %	3.2 %	133.3 %
70806	45.1 %	138.7 %	1.4 %	

Black Population 0.5 %  
Hispanic Population 0.7 %

**MAINE**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
04005	0.6 %	120.0 %	0.6 %	
04073	0.5 %		1.1 %	157.1 %
04086	1.3 %	260.0 %	1.2 %	171.4 %
04101	4.6 %	920.0 %	2.8 %	400.0 %



## MARYLAND

Black Population 27.9%  
Hispanic Population 4.3%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
20602	30.8%	110.3%	2.7%	
20879	17.2%		13.1%	304.6%
20910	31.6%	113.2%	13.5%	131.9%
21117	24.9%		3.0%	
21201	61.7%	221.1%	1.9%	
21234	17.0%		1.5%	
21401	18.2%		3.0%	
21601	17.9%		2.5%	
21702	10.2%		5.1%	118.6%
21801	35.4%	126.8%	2.7%	

## MASSACHUSETTS

Black Population 5.4%  
Hispanic Population 6.8%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
01107	8.1%	150.0%	75.9%	1116.1%
01420	3.6%		15.0%	220.5%
01609	6.3%	116.6%	15.5%	227.9%
01752	2.2%		6.1%	
01757	1.3%		4.4%	
02144	4.8%		3.7%	
02215	3.7%		7.2%	105.8%

## MICHIGAN

Black Population 14.2%  
Hispanic Population 3.3%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
48093	1.5%		1.0%	
48103	7.3%		2.5%	
48104	5.2%		3.6%	109.0%
48114	0.4%		1.0%	
48150	0.7%		1.9%	
48198	29.5%	207.7%	2.5%	
48201	75.1%	528.8%	1.9%	
48509	2.9%		2.3%	
48532	18.4%	129.5%	2.1%	
48604	7.2%		7.8%	236.3%
48823	6.0%		3.0%	
48846	13.7%		4.1%	124.2%
48867	0.2%		2.3%	
48912	13.3%		10.7%	324.2%
49006	13.5%		2.6%	
49015	7.4%		4.1%	124.2%
49022	57.1%	402.1%	2.6%	
49036	4.5%		2.9%	
49091	0.9%		8.6%	260.6%
49093	7.0%		2.2%	
49202	6.3%		3.1%	
49307	6.5%		1.5%	
49442	22.9%	161.2%	5.5%	166.6%
49503	22.2%	156.3%	15.3%	463.6%
49519*				
49686	0.3%		1.6%	

## MICHIGAN [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
49770	0.2%		1.0%	
49855	2.4%		0.7%	

## MINNESOTA

Black Population 3.5%  
Hispanic Population 2.9%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
55060	1.3%		4.0%	137.9%
55066	1.2%		1.2%	
55113	2.8%		2.0%	
55116	4.6%	131.4%	4.8%	165.5%
55124	2.0%		2.0%	
55125	2.7%		2.2%	
55344	5.3%	151.4%	3.1%	106.8%
55404	27.9%	797.1%	15.6%	537.9%
55408	16.1%	460.0%	15.5%	534.4%
55445	8.9%	254.2%	1.5%	
55744	0.2%		0.6%	
55792	0.4%		0.8%	
55811	1.5%		1.1%	
55901	2.5%		2.3%	
56001	1.6%		2.0%	
56007	0.3%		8.4%	289.6%
56031	0.4%		2.7%	
56201	0.8%		13.5%	465.5%
56304	3.6%	102.8%	1.5%	
56308	0.2%		0.6%	
56401	0.4%		0.8%	
56560	0.7%		4.2%	144.8%
56601	0.4%		0.9%	
56701	0.2%		1.3%	

## MISSISSIPPI

Black Population 36.3%  
Hispanic Population 1.4%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
39401	49.6%	136.6%	1.3%	

## MISSOURI

Black Population 11.2%  
Hispanic Population 2.1%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
63033	43.4%	387.5%	1.2%	
63088	3.5%		2.3%	109.5%
63108	44.8%	400.0%	1.9%	
63108	44.8%	400.0%	1.9%	
63118	52.7%	470.5%	3.7%	176.1%
63376	2.4%		1.5%	
64030	33.5%	299.1%	4.3%	204.7%
64050	2.7%		4.0%	190.4%
64093	5.1%		2.2%	104.7%
64110	55.9%	499.1%	3.4%	161.9%

\* Statistics for this ZIP code were not available.

**MISSOURI** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
64119	1.9%		3.8%	180.9%
64801	2.6%		2.3%	109.5%
65049	0.1%		1.2%	
65109	6.7%		1.5%	
65203	10.3%		2.0%	
65401	1.9%		1.4%	
65807	3.1%		2.3%	109.5%

Black Population 0.3%  
Hispanic Population 2.0%

**MONTANA**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
59102	0.3%		2.3%	115.0%
59105	0.4%	133.3%	2.6%	130.0%
59254	0.1%		1.1%	
59254	0.1%		1.1%	
59255	0.1%		1.0%	
59323	0.7%	233.3%	3.0%	150.0%
59327	0.1%		1.3%	
59401	1.0%	333.3%	2.7%	135.0%
59422	0.1%		1.2%	
59442	0.2%		0.6%	
59601	0.2%		1.6%	
59802	0.3%		1.8%	

Black Population 4.0%  
Hispanic Population 5.5%

**NEBRASKA**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
68134	7.4%	185.0%	2.5%	
68137	1.2%		2.7%	
68506	1.2%		1.8%	
68510	2.8%		3.4%	

Black Population 6.8%  
Hispanic Population 19.7%

**NEVADA**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
89032	26.7%	392.6%	17.6%	
89102	7.5%	110.2%	38.0%	192.8%
89121	6.4%		18.9%	
89502	2.5%		33.6%	170.5%
89503	1.8%		9.9%	

Black Population 0.7%  
Hispanic Population 1.7%

**NEW HAMPSHIRE**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
03038	0.9%	128.5%	1.9%	111.7%
03104	1.9%	271.4%	2.8%	164.7%
03431	0.4%		0.8%	
03743	0.3%		0.5%	
03784	1.1%	157.1%	2.6%	152.9%
03833	0.5%		0.9%	

**NEW JERSEY**

Black Population 13.6%  
Hispanic Population 13.3%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
07018	90.9%	668.3%	4.1%	
07042	43.5%	319.8%	5.7%	
07060	34.6%	254.4%	29.9%	224.8%
07102	65.5%	481.6%	22.8%	171.4%
07105	5.6%		35.2%	264.6%
07201	33.4%	245.5%	44.5%	334.5%
07442	1.6%		5.7%	
07505	40.9%	300.7%	46.9%	352.6%
07601	24.6%	180.8%	25.9%	194.7%
07631	39.0%	286.7%	21.8%	163.9%
07702	0.5%		1.9%	
07728	6.9%		9.9%	
07730	1.1%		5.8%	
07860	1.6%		2.5%	
07960	10.4%		13.7%	103.0%
08010	23.3%	171.3%	6.1%	
08031	1.7%		3.9%	
08103	69.8%	513.2%	26.4%	198.4%
08401	44.2%	325.0%	24.9%	187.2%
08608	52.1%	383.0%	32.9%	247.3%
08628	17.7%	130.1%	4.8%	
08690	1.3%		2.3%	
08822	1.3%		3.7%	
08835	0.5%		5.4%	
08861	9.7%		67.7%	509.0%
08865	2.2%		3.7%	
08884	1.5%		4.4%	
08901	23.0%	169.1%	39.0%	293.2%

Black Population 1.9%  
Hispanic Population 42.1%

**NEW MEXICO**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
87108	4.4%	231.5%	45.5%	108.0%
87108	4.4%	231.5%	45.5%	108.0%
87112	2.8%	147.3%	27.5%	
87124	2.6%	136.8%	28.2%	
87401	0.8%		18.8%	
87505	0.7%		52.5%	124.7%

Black Population 15.9%  
Hispanic Population 15.1%

**NEW YORK**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
10012	2.9%		7.5%	
10301	23.9%	150.3%	18.3%	121.1%
10451	46.1%	289.9%	55.0%	364.2%
10509	2.1%		7.9%	
10550	69.3%	435.8%	11.6%	
10607	32.5%	204.4%	12.6%	
10701	28.6%	179.8%	34.3%	227.1%
10801	27.7%	174.2%	27.8%	184.1%
10924	6.1%		7.1%	
10940	11.9%		19.7%	130.4%
10977	33.4%	210.0%	11.4%	
11201	20.7%	130.1%	14.4%	

**NEW YORK** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
11542	6.2 %		19.4 %	128.4 %
11550	50.9 %	320.1 %	31.0 %	205.2 %
11743	6.4 %		4.8 %	
11758	4.5 %		4.5 %	
11772	5.1 %		14.0 %	
11787	0.7 %		3.4 %	
11795	0.3 %		3.4 %	
11901	20.4 %	128.3 %	9.0 %	
11930	1.5 %		5.0 %	
12010	1.6 %		11.0 %	
12043	1.7 %		2.2 %	
12065	1.5 %		1.7 %	
12095	4.7 %		3.1 %	
12180	9.7 %		3.6 %	
12206	48.0 %	301.8 %	6.7 %	
12307	43.2 %	271.6 %	13.2 %	
12401	9.3 %		5.1 %	
12534	12.1 %		4.9 %	
12550	21.6 %	135.8 %	24.2 %	160.2 %
12601	26.6 %	167.2 %	8.6 %	
12701	20.2 %	127.0 %	16.9 %	111.9 %
12801	1.3 %		1.4 %	
12832	0.4 %		0.5 %	
12866	2.6 %		1.7 %	
12883	0.5 %		0.4 %	
12901	1.9 %		1.7 %	
12953	17.1 %	107.5 %	10.3 %	
12983	0.6 %		0.9 %	
13210	22.1 %	138.9 %	4.4 %	
13350	1.0 %		1.2 %	
13367	0.5 %		0.7 %	
13421	0.7 %		0.8 %	
13440	6.1 %		3.9 %	
13502	8.1 %		3.8 %	
13601	5.2 %		3.6 %	
13617	2.5 %		1.3 %	
13669	6.9 %		4.4 %	
13815	1.0 %		1.0 %	
13820	4.0 %		3.2 %	
13838	0.8 %		1.5 %	
13856	0.4 %		1.1 %	
13901	4.9 %		2.1 %	
14020	4.1 %		1.9 %	
14120	0.4 %		1.0 %	
14214	37.5 %	235.8 %	2.8 %	
14224	0.5 %		0.8 %	
14301	19.4 %	122.0 %	2.7 %	
14304	2.4 %		1.0 %	
14424	1.0 %		1.1 %	
14605	59.1 %	371.6 %	31.7 %	209.9 %
14626	3.1 %		2.5 %	
14830	2.4 %		0.7 %	
14843	1.7 %		1.1 %	
14850	4.6 %		3.9 %	
14891	0.7 %		0.7 %	
14901	16.7 %	105.0 %	3.9 %	

**NORTH CAROLINA**

Black Population 21.6%  
Hispanic Population 4.7%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
27103	17.5 %		8.2 %	174.4 %
27408	6.7 %		1.4 %	
27514	9.2 %		3.3 %	
27603	21.5 %		8.4 %	178.7 %
27704	52.0 %	240.7 %	4.9 %	104.2 %
28205	39.8 %	184.2 %	12.6 %	268.0 %
28303	37.2 %	172.2 %	7.0 %	148.9 %
28401	51.6 %	238.8 %	2.7 %	
28801	44.8 %	207.4 %	2.5 %	

**NORTH DAKOTA**

Black Population 0.6%  
Hispanic Population 1.2%

[ There are no Planned Parenthood facilities in this state. ]

**OHIO**

Black Population 11.5%  
Hispanic Population 1.9%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
43015	3.4 %		1.0 %	
43113	1.8 %		0.7 %	
43201	20.9 %	181.7 %	2.8 %	147.3 %
43213	24.4 %	212.1 %	3.3 %	173.6 %
43222	13.1 %	113.9 %	2.2 %	115.7 %
43528	7.1 %		2.7 %	142.1 %
43604	39.7 %	345.2 %	10.7 %	563.1 %
44052	19.1 %	166.0 %	16.8 %	884.2 %
44103	79.1 %	687.8 %	4.3 %	226.3 %
44109	7.0 %		19.7 %	1036.8 %
44116	0.4 %		1.2 %	
44139	6.1 %		0.7 %	
44146	38.8 %	337.3 %	1.3 %	
44240	6.0 %		0.9 %	
44256	1.6 %		0.9 %	
44266	3.2 %		0.7 %	
44302	41.8 %	363.4 %	1.9 %	
44410	0.9 %		0.6 %	
44507	62.1 %	540.0 %	6.3 %	331.5 %
44691	2.5 %		0.8 %	
44709	5.4 %		0.9 %	
44833	0.2 %		0.8 %	
44906	5.7 %		1.2 %	
45011	8.9 %		2.7 %	142.1 %
45044	11.6 %	100.8 %	1.1 %	
45219	42.3 %	367.8 %	1.5 %	
45238	5.1 %		0.7 %	
45245	0.8 %		0.9 %	
45246	23.6 %	205.2 %	4.4 %	231.5 %
45324	6.7 %		1.7 %	
45402	38.4 %	333.9 %	1.9 %	
45504	3.5 %		0.9 %	
45701	3.2 %		1.3 %	

## OKLAHOMA

Black Population 7.6%  
Hispanic Population 5.2%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
73013	6.2%		2.4%	
73069	3.2%		4.9%	
73103	20.0%	263.1%	5.2%	
73110	19.7%	259.2%	4.4%	
73139	5.5%		11.0%	211.5%
74012	4.1%		4.0%	
74105	7.6%		5.0%	
74107	9.5%	125.0%	4.3%	
74120	8.1%	106.5%	10.9%	209.6%

## OREGON

Black Population 1.6%  
Hispanic Population 8.0%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
97005	2.0%	125.0%	21.5%	268.7%
97015	1.2%		4.0%	
97030	1.9%	118.7%	10.2%	127.5%
97030	1.9%	118.7%	10.2%	127.5%
97128	0.6%		13.4%	167.5%
97206	1.7%	106.2%	6.5%	
97212	14.1%	881.2%	4.2%	
97305	1.0%		20.4%	255.0%
97401	1.5%		3.9%	
97402	1.2%		7.2%	
97424	0.1%		3.9%	
97439	0.2%		2.2%	
97477	0.8%		7.6%	
97501	0.5%		12.5%	156.2%
97520	0.6%		3.4%	
97526	0.3%		4.7%	
97701	0.3%		3.7%	

## PENNSYLVANIA

Black Population 10.0%  
Hispanic Population 3.2%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
15017	4.2%		0.8%	
15108	4.6%		0.9%	
15222	24.8%	248.0%	1.1%	
15501	1.1%		0.5%	
15601	1.9%		0.6%	
15901	21.8%	218.0%	2.0%	
16801	2.9%		2.3%	
17013	3.4%		1.4%	
17102	46.2%	462.0%	6.0%	187.5%
17202	7.6%		6.4%	200.0%
17325	2.8%		4.1%	128.1%
17331	0.5%		1.4%	
17356	0.5%		1.0%	
17401	21.5%	215.0%	14.2%	443.7%
17602	11.5%	115.0%	24.3%	759.3%
18045	2.3%		2.3%	
18101	19.9%	199.0%	45.7%	1428.1%
18360	4.2%		4.7%	146.8%
18503	8.7%		3.0%	
18701	5.3%		2.3%	

## PENNSYLVANIA [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
18901	1.7%		1.5%	
18951	0.9%		1.6%	
18974	2.9%		4.1%	
19002	5.7%		1.3%	
19007	14.7%	147.0%	8.9%	278.1%
19020	5.9%		4.5%	140.6%
19050	43.9%	439.0%	1.2%	
19063	6.0%		1.1%	
19087	2.8%		1.6%	
19107	11.8%	118.0%	3.8%	118.7%
19107	11.8%	118.0%	3.8%	118.7%
19152	4.1%		4.8%	150.0%
19154	5.6%		3.2%	
19311	5.3%		24.6%	768.7%
19320	22.8%	228.0%	4.5%	140.6%
19382	6.4%		2.5%	
19401	29.9%	299.0%	8.9%	278.1%
19426	9.9%		2.4%	
19464	10.9%	109.0%	3.0%	
19602	11.0%	110.0%	43.0%	1343.7%

## RHODE ISLAND

Black Population 4.5%  
Hispanic Population 8.7%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
02903	12.4%	275.5%	15.2%	174.7%

## SOUTH CAROLINA

Black Population 29.5%  
Hispanic Population 2.4%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
29204	60.9%	206.4%	1.6%	
29403	68.8%	233.2%	1.5%	

## SOUTH DAKOTA

Black Population 0.6%  
Hispanic Population 1.4%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
57106	0.9%	150.0%	1.3%	
57702	0.4%		1.4%	

## TENNESSEE

Black Population 16.4%  
Hispanic Population 2.2%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
37203	53.4%	325.6%	3.2%	145.4%
37914	41.2%	251.2%	0.9%	
38112	56.4%	343.9%	3.0%	136.3%

**TEXAS**

Black Population 11.5%  
Hispanic Population 32.0%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
75041	10.7 %		39.0 %	121.8 %
75062	9.6 %		26.9 %	
75067	7.9 %		16.5 %	
75069	9.5 %		28.5 %	
75074	8.1 %		27.4 %	
75074	8.1 %		27.4 %	
75090	12.8 %	111.3 %	15.4 %	
75110	19.4 %	168.6 %	19.0 %	
75150	10.4 %		16.1 %	
75150	10.4 %		16.1 %	
75160	24.0 %	208.6 %	14.5 %	
75165	13.3 %	115.6 %	18.8 %	
75231	27.2 %	236.5 %	40.5 %	126.5 %
75231	27.2 %	236.5 %	40.5 %	126.5 %
75237	88.6 %	770.4 %	7.3 %	
75254	9.8 %		40.4 %	126.2 %
75460	23.3 %	202.6 %	4.1 %	
75701	21.1 %	183.4 %	10.5 %	
75904	18.8 %	163.4 %	11.9 %	
76010	16.0 %	139.1 %	39.7 %	124.0 %
76015	10.1 %		11.7 %	
76021	3.5 %		6.7 %	
76028	0.7 %		5.5 %	
76104	57.2 %	497.3 %	26.2 %	
76114	3.3 %		27.9 %	
76116	10.4 %	155.6 %	18.6 %	
76119	55.5 %	482.6 %	23.2 %	
76205	7.9 %		11.5 %	
76240	4.3 %		12.4 %	
76642	17.9 %		14.2 %	
76661	41.5 %	360.8 %	16.4 %	
76701	27.7 %	240.8 %	22.8 %	
76706	13.1 %	113.9 %	28.1 %	
76901	3.2 %		26.5 %	
77023	1.8 %		86.0 %	268.7 %
77037	3.0 %		64.0 %	200.0 %
77068	13.0 %	113.0 %	13.6 %	
77081	9.0 %		71.3 %	222.8 %
77340	18.7 %	162.6 %	13.3 %	
77471	7.4 %		53.1 %	165.9 %
77477	16.6 %	144.3 %	27.2 %	
77539	10.7 %		22.5 %	
77802	6.1 %		11.0 %	
78201	1.9 %		82.0 %	256.2 %
78212	2.5 %		61.0 %	190.6 %
78217	9.3 %		31.1 %	
78223	4.3 %		67.3 %	210.3 %
78227	7.9 %		63.7 %	199.0 %
78237	2.8 %		94.5 %	295.3 %
78238	4.7 %		59.9 %	187.1 %
78332	0.7 %		79.5 %	248.4 %
78363	3.9 %		66.2 %	206.8 %
78501	0.5 %		84.0 %	262.5 %
78521	0.3 %		93.4 %	291.8 %
78521	0.3 %		93.4 %	291.8 %
78539	1.4 %		89.7 %	280.3 %
78539	1.4 %		89.7 %	280.3 %
78550	0.9 %		74.3 %	232.1 %

**TEXAS** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
78572	0.3 %		88.4 %	276.2 %
78579	0.0 %		98.6 %	308.1 %
78580	3.0 %		85.9 %	268.4 %
78582	0.2 %		97.4 %	304.3 %
78589	0.3 %		95.4 %	298.1 %
78596	0.2 %		88.3 %	275.9 %
78702	23.7 %	206.0 %	67.7 %	211.5 %
78704	4.9 %		34.0 %	106.2 %
78758	11.5 %		32.1 %	100.3 %
79412	10.5 %		42.9 %	134.0 %
79603	9.9 %		35.5 %	110.9 %
79701	12.1 %	105.2 %	50.2 %	156.8 %
79761	8.7 %		46.9 %	146.5 %

**UTAH**

Black Population 0.8%  
Hispanic Population 9.0%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
84057	0.4 %		10.6 %	117.7 %
84060	0.4 %		19.9 %	221.1 %
84095	0.3 %		3.3 %	
84102	1.8 %	225.0 %	11.9 %	132.2 %
84102	1.8 %	225.0 %	11.9 %	132.2 %
84119	1.6 %	200.0 %	21.3 %	236.6 %
84321	0.5 %		7.8 %	
84403	1.4 %	175.0 %	14.6 %	162.2 %
84770	0.3 %		8.4 %	

**VERMONT**

Black Population 0.5%  
Hispanic Population 0.9%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
05201	0.6 %	120.0 %	1.0 %	111.1 %
05301	0.8 %	160.0 %	1.4 %	155.5 %
05401	1.8 %	360.0 %	1.4 %	155.5 %
05478	0.3 %		0.7 %	
05495	0.5 %		1.0 %	111.1 %
05641	0.3 %		1.6 %	177.7 %
05655	0.4 %		0.8 %	
05701	0.4 %		0.8 %	
05753	0.9 %	180.0 %	1.9 %	211.1 %
05855	0.6 %	120.0 %	1.1 %	122.2 %

**VIRGINIA**

Black Population 19.6%  
Hispanic Population 4.7%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
22046	3.3 %		10.8 %	229.7 %
22901	11.6 %		3.1 %	
23221	13.1 %		1.6 %	
23462	31.6 %	161.2 %	4.5 %	
23666	43.9 %	223.9 %	3.0 %	
24017	66.4 %	338.7 %	1.2 %	
24060	3.9 %		2.1 %	

## WASHINGTON

Black Population 3.2%  
Hispanic Population 7.5%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
98003	8.8%	275.0%	8.6%	114.6%
98007	2.9%		9.8%	130.6%
98027	0.8%		3.4%	
98028	1.3%		3.6%	
98031	7.4%	231.2%	6.1%	
98036	2.6%		6.4%	
98105	2.0%		3.7%	
98122	25.0%	781.2%	6.9%	
98126	7.3%	228.1%	6.1%	
98133	4.2%		5.2%	
98201	3.5%		6.6%	
98225	0.9%		4.4%	
98250	0.3%		2.8%	
98271	0.5%		4.2%	
98273	0.6%		23.3%	310.6%
98277	4.2%	131.2%	5.7%	
98310	5.2%		4.5%	
98331	1.4%		12.8%	170.6%
98362	0.4%		2.0%	
98373	2.4%		3.9%	
98382	0.2%		2.3%	
98383	2.9%		4.1%	
98405	21.7%	678.1%	6.0%	
98501	1.1%		3.8%	
98531	0.3%		9.0%	120.0%
98584	1.5%		6.0%	
98662	1.9%		4.2%	
98686	1.0%		4.6%	
98902	1.6%		28.8%	384.0%
98926	0.8%		5.4%	
98944	0.4%		66.6%	888.0%
99163	2.3%		3.7%	
99206	0.9%		2.7%	
99207	2.5%		3.4%	
99207	2.5%		3.4%	
99301	2.7%		47.9%	638.6%
99336	1.2%		18.6%	248.0%
99362	2.1%		16.0%	213.3%

## WEST VIRGINIA

Black Population 3.2%  
Hispanic Population 0.7%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
26105	1.0%		0.6%	

## WISCONSIN

Black Population 5.7%  
Hispanic Population 3.6%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
53038	0.2%		2.9%	
53081	0.9%		6.4%	177.7%
53090	0.2%		1.6%	
53115	0.9%		14.4%	400.0%
53142	2.4%		4.9%	136.1%
53186	1.0%		9.6%	266.6%
53202	8.8%	154.3%	3.4%	
53204	8.2%	143.8%	64.8%	1800.0%
53212	63.0%	1105.2%	10.3%	286.1%
53216	75.7%	1328.0%	2.2%	
53227	2.1%		3.2%	
53233	34.4%	603.5%	5.2%	144.4%
53403	28.6%	501.7%	15.4%	427.7%
53704	7.0%	122.8%	4.7%	130.5%
53713	17.3%	303.5%	15.1%	419.4%
53901	2.8%		2.6%	
53916	0.3%		3.9%	108.3%
54166	0.2%		1.3%	
54220	0.5%		2.2%	
54301	3.9%		5.2%	144.4%
54494	0.3%		0.9%	
54703	0.6%		0.9%	
54729	0.2%		0.6%	
54902	0.6%		1.6%	
54911	1.1%		2.2%	
54913	0.4%		0.8%	
54935	1.6%		2.6%	

## WYOMING

Black Population 0.8%  
Hispanic Population 6.4%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
82604	0.4%		3.3%	

## WASHINGTON, DC

Black Population 60.0%  
Hispanic Population 7.9%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
20019	97.7%	162.8%	0.9%	
20036	5.2%		7.4%	

## CHART 2 – INDEPENDENT ABORTION CLINIC LOCATIONS

### ALABAMA Black Population 26.0% Hispanic Population 1.7%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
35205	40.0 %	153.8 %	2.6 %	152.9 %
35404	35.7 %	137.3 %	1.7 %	
35801	9.7 %		1.2 %	
36109	7.4 %		1.1 %	

### ALASKA Black Population 3.5% Hispanic Population 4.1%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
99508	9.0 %	257.1 %	7.6 %	185.3 %
99611	0.6 %		2.9 %	

### ARIZONA Black Population 3.1% Hispanic Population 25.3%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
85006	4.0 %	129.0 %	73.3 %	289.7 %
85016	3.8 %		29.2 %	
85018	2.6 %		16.0 %	
85021	3.8 %		25.6 %	101.1 %
85712	4.0 %	129.0 %	19.3 %	

### ARKANSAS Black Population 15.7% Hispanic Population 3.2%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
72211	14.2 %		2.6 %	

### CALIFORNIA Black Population 6.7% Hispanic Population 32.4%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
90005	5.1 %		58.3 %	179.9 %
90007	12.8 %	191.0 %	58.2 %	179.6 %
90008	78.5 %	1171.6 %	14.3 %	
90010	8.5 %	126.8 %	19.6 %	
90025	3.1 %		15.9 %	
90033	1.5 %		92.3 %	284.8 %
90034	15.1 %	225.3 %	29.2 %	
90034	15.1 %	225.3 %	29.2 %	
90057	5.5 %		70.2 %	216.6 %
90057	5.5 %		70.2 %	216.6 %
90057	5.5 %		70.2 %	216.6 %
90057	5.5 %		70.2 %	216.6 %
90211	1.9 %		4.5 %	
90211	1.9 %		4.5 %	
90241	2.8 %		57.7 %	178.0 %
90255	0.7 %		95.6 %	295.0 %

### CALIFORNIA [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
90292	4.3 %		6.0 %	
90504	3.5 %		17.3 %	
90505	1.6 %		8.7 %	
90806	20.5 %	305.9 %	43.4 %	133.9 %
91203	1.8 %		21.4 %	
91205	1.4 %		28.5 %	
91304	4.5 %		34.0 %	104.9 %
91304	4.5 %		34.0 %	104.9 %
91331	5.3 %		82.6 %	254.9 %
91335	4.2 %		41.9 %	129.3 %
91345	4.2 %		53.7 %	165.7 %
91356	3.8 %		13.1 %	
91403	3.6 %		9.0 %	
91405	6.0 %		58.8 %	181.4 %
91744	2.1 %		81.3 %	250.9 %
91763	6.2 %		60.3 %	186.1 %
91770	0.6 %		42.1 %	129.9 %
91911	4.5 %		60.4 %	186.4 %
91911	4.5 %		60.4 %	186.4 %
91911	4.5 %		60.4 %	186.4 %
92024	0.5 %		14.9 %	
92025	2.1 %		48.5 %	149.6 %
92028	2.0 %		13.5 %	
92103	2.5 %		12.7 %	
92105	15.1 %	225.3 %	48.4 %	149.3 %
92115	10.9 %	162.6 %	24.4 %	
92117	1.9 %		16.0 %	
92123	9.2 %	137.3 %	14.4 %	
92126	2.9 %		9.3 %	
92234	2.7 %		49.3 %	152.1 %
92408	14.4 %	214.9 %	44.5 %	137.3 %
92505	6.0 %		45.3 %	139.8 %
92701	1.4 %		88.5 %	273.1 %
92701	1.4 %		88.5 %	273.1 %
92701	1.4 %		88.5 %	273.1 %
92868	2.7 %		45.1 %	139.1 %
93065	1.2 %		17.9 %	
93301	11.3 %	168.6 %	31.8 %	
93701	6.9 %	102.9 %	64.6 %	199.3 %
94110	4.2 %		46.1 %	142.2 %
94110	4.2 %		46.1 %	142.2 %
94115	17.8 %	265.6 %	5.5 %	
94115	17.8 %	265.6 %	5.5 %	
94520	4.5 %		39.8 %	122.8 %
94538	3.6 %		19.3 %	
94541	12.9 %	192.5 %	35.4 %	109.2 %
94566	1.1 %		7.8 %	
94607	50.8 %	758.2 %	12.6 %	
94610	23.9 %	356.7 %	6.9 %	
94954	1.3 %		15.8 %	
95116	2.9 %		64.5 %	199.0 %
95204	4.1 %		26.6 %	

**CALIFORNIA** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
95825	9.2 %	137.3 %	16.4 %	
95825	9.2 %	137.3 %	16.4 %	
95928	1.7 %		13.5 %	
96002	1.0 %		5.7 %	
95355	3.0 %		15.9 %	
95409	1.1 %		7.0 %	
95437	0.6 %		15.8 %	
95819	1.8 %		8.7 %	

**COLORADO** Black Population 3.8%  
Hispanic Population 17.1%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
80014	8.2 %	215.7 %	6.8 %	
80111	1.6 %		5.4 %	
80113	3.8 %		1.0 %	
80113	3.8 %		1.0 %	
80206	6.7 %	176.3 %	7.9 %	
80210	1.6 %		6.7 %	
80218	6.6 %	173.6 %	11.4 %	
80220	15.6 %	410.5 %	16.7 %	
80220	15.6 %	410.5 %	16.7 %	
80246	7.4 %	194.7 %	21.4 %	125.1 %
80301	1.6 %		8.7 %	
80304	0.8 %		9.7 %	
81501	0.9 %		13.7 %	
81611	0.6 %		5.7 %	
81621	0.3 %		22.8 %	133.3 %
81657	0.4 %		7.9 %	

**CONNECTICUT** Black Population 9.1%  
Hispanic Population 9.4%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
06106	16.9 %	185.7 %	57.8 %	614.8 %
06120	59.3 %	651.6 %	37.9 %	403.1 %
06606	28.5 %	313.1 %	21.8 %	231.9 %
06810	7.0 %		21.1 %	224.4 %

**DELAWARE** Black Population 19.2%  
Hispanic Population 4.8%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
19802	75.4 %	392.7 %	3.2 %	
19901	28.5 %	148.4 %	4.4 %	

**FLORIDA** Black Population 14.6%  
Hispanic Population 16.8%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
32114	46.3 %	317.1 %	3.4 %	
32117	24.4 %	167.1 %	3.9 %	
32216	19.6 %	134.2 %	5.5 %	
32216	19.6 %	134.2 %	5.5 %	

**FLORIDA** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
32216	19.6 %	134.2 %	5.5 %	
32301	47.1 %	322.6 %	3.5 %	
32504	12.1 %		2.7 %	
32504	12.1 %		2.7 %	
32601	23.5 %	160.9 %	6.7 %	
32609	39.9 %	273.2 %	4.1 %	
32701	15.7 %	107.5 %	14.2 %	
32803	5.1 %		8.4 %	
32806	5.3 %		9.7 %	
32812	6.5 %		21.1 %	125.5 %
33012	1.6 %		90.0 %	535.7 %
33012	1.6 %		90.0 %	535.7 %
33013	1.5 %		90.3 %	537.5 %
33013	1.5 %		90.3 %	537.5 %
33015	18.1 %	123.9 %	62.0 %	369.0 %
33021	7.0 %		17.8 %	105.9 %
33023	45.1 %	308.9 %	24.1 %	143.4 %
33065	13.3 %		18.9 %	112.5 %
33133	16.4 %	112.3 %	44.9 %	267.2 %
33134	0.9 %		69.1 %	411.3 %
33135	2.3 %		92.9 %	552.9 %
33135	2.3 %		92.9 %	552.9 %
33136	61.9 %	423.9 %	28.2 %	167.8 %
33143	12.0 %		42.9 %	255.3 %
33150	71.1 %	486.9 %	18.6 %	110.7 %
33155	1.1 %		75.8 %	451.1 %
33162	48.6 %	332.8 %	25.6 %	152.3 %
33162	48.6 %	332.8 %	25.6 %	152.3 %
33162	48.6 %	332.8 %	25.6 %	152.3 %
33166	5.4 %		62.1 %	369.6 %
33173	2.2 %		64.6 %	384.5 %
33183	2.8 %		73.9 %	439.8 %
33308	0.9 %		6.4 %	
33311	81.3 %	556.8 %	3.5 %	
33313	67.9 %	465.0 %	7.9 %	
33313	67.9 %	465.0 %	7.9 %	
33314	5.4 %		22.2 %	132.1 %
33317	20.1 %	137.6 %	17.5 %	104.1 %
33321	7.4 %		14.4 %	
33321	7.4 %		14.4 %	
33324	6.7 %		14.2 %	
33334	12.3 %		21.5 %	127.9 %
33407	60.6 %	415.0 %	9.3 %	
33606	11.4 %		7.8 %	
33609	7.0 %		21.4 %	127.3 %
33612	29.0 %	198.6 %	17.9 %	106.5 %
33613	19.1 %	130.8 %	17.1 %	101.7 %
33710	1.6 %		4.4 %	
33713	9.4 %		6.1 %	
33756	6.5 %		8.2 %	
33761	0.8 %		3.8 %	
33813	4.3 %		5.1 %	
33901	22.1 %	151.3 %	10.4 %	
33903	1.5 %		4.8 %	
33952	5.2 %		5.5 %	
34239	0.9 %		5.2 %	
34475	53.3 %	365.0 %	4.5 %	
34950	56.2 %	384.9 %	18.1 %	107.7 %



## GEORGIA

Black Population 28.7%  
Hispanic Population 5.3%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
30035	89.4 %	311.4 %	1.7 %	
30067	26.0 %		10.4 %	196.2 %
30076	9.1 %		15.7 %	296.2 %
30324	9.9 %		19.3 %	364.1 %
30324	9.9 %		19.3 %	364.1 %
30329	16.2 %		24.4 %	460.3 %
30341	9.9 %		31.7 %	598.1 %
30341	9.9 %		31.7 %	598.1 %
30342	7.3 %		17.6 %	332.2 %
30349	87.4 %	304.5 %	2.4 %	
30907	10.5 %		2.5 %	
31401	65.8 %	229.2 %	1.4 %	
31904	20.3 %		0.5 %	

## HAWAII

Black Population 1.8%  
Hispanic Population 7.2%

[ There are no independent facilities in this state. ]

## IDAHO

Black Population 0.4%  
Hispanic Population 7.9%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
83702	0.6 %	150.0 %	3.6 %	

## ILLINOIS

Black Population 15.1%  
Hispanic Population 12.3%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
60016	2.7 %		10.5 %	
60016	2.7 %		10.5 %	
60018	1.0 %		24.1 %	195.9 %
60137	2.1 %		4.5 %	
60191	0.5 %		13.4 %	108.9 %
60516	2.5 %		4.3 %	
60521	3.1 %		3.2 %	
60612	65.6 %	434.4 %	14.0 %	113.8 %
60616	38.6 %	255.6 %	9.1 %	
60619	98.1 %	649.6 %	0.7 %	
60630	0.5 %		16.4 %	133.3 %
60637	82.2 %	544.3 %	1.3 %	
60646	0.4 %		6.6 %	
60647	6.8 %		67.7 %	550.4 %
60647	6.8 %		67.7 %	550.4 %
60661	21.5 %	142.3 %	5.9 %	
61104	14.0 %		16.1 %	130.8 %
61614	5.6 %		1.4 %	
61820	16.1 %	106.6 %	5.5 %	
62040	2.6 %		2.4 %	

## INDIANA

Black Population 8.4%  
Hispanic Population 3.5%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
46219	11.4 %	135.7 %	2.4 %	

## INDIANA [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
46222	38.4 %	457.1 %	7.4 %	211.4 %
46241	4.0 %		2.8 %	
46408	55.5 %	660.7 %	7.4 %	211.4 %
46635	4.5 %		2.2 %	
46815	4.9 %		2.3 %	

## IOWA

Black Population 2.1%  
Hispanic Population 2.8%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
52245	2.3 %	109.5 %	2.1 %	

## KANSAS

Black Population 5.7%  
Hispanic Population 7.0%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
66101	40.6 %	712.2 %	30.4 %	434.2 %
66211	1.5 %		1.2 %	

## KENTUCKY

Black Population 7.3%  
Hispanic Population 1.5%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
40202	66.8 %	915.0 %	2.1 %	140.0 %
40503	2.7 %		2.5 %	166.6 %

## LOUISIANA

Black Population 32.5%  
Hispanic Population 2.4%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
70002	6.0 %		10.8 %	450.0 %
70115	51.0 %	156.9 %	3.2 %	133.3 %
70115	51.0 %	156.9 %	3.2 %	133.3 %
70122	73.2 %	225.2 %	3.2 %	133.3 %
70806	45.1 %	138.7 %	1.4 %	
71104	27.1 %		2.6 %	108.3 %
71111	23.9 %		3.2 %	133.3 %

## MAINE

Black Population 0.5%  
Hispanic Population 0.7%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
04106	0.6 %	120.0 %	1.1 %	157.1 %
04330	0.4 %		0.8 %	114.2 %
04401	0.8 %	160.0 %	0.9 %	128.5 %

## MARYLAND

Black Population 27.9%  
Hispanic Population 4.3%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
20710	70.9 %	254.1 %	12.9 %	300.0 %
20740	15.5 %		5.6 %	130.2 %

**MARYLAND** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
20740	15.5 %		5.6 %	130.2 %
20746	87.1 %	312.1 %	2.4 %	
20747	89.9 %	322.2 %	1.3 %	
20783	39.3 %	140.8 %	40.6 %	944.1 %
20785	84.0 %	301.0 %	3.1 %	
20814	3.4 %		6.1 %	141.8 %
20850	10.1 %		8.4 %	195.3 %
20874	17.0 %		9.0 %	209.3 %
20877	17.7 %		27.4 %	637.2 %
20877	17.7 %		27.4 %	637.2 %
21117	24.9 %		3.0 %	
21228	17.6 %		1.9 %	
21236	6.0 %		1.7 %	
21237	16.3 %		2.1 %	
21740	11.0 %		1.5 %	

Black Population 5.4%  
Hispanic Population 6.8%

**MASSACHUSETTS**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
01778	0.7 %		1.1 %	
01830	2.8 %		9.2 %	135.2 %
01904	3.2 %		4.8 %	
01960	1.0 %		3.4 %	
02446	2.4 %		3.7	
02446	2.4 %		3.7	
02446	2.4 %		3.7	
02703	1.6 %		4.3 %	

Black Population 14.2%  
Hispanic Population 3.3%

**MICHIGAN**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
48025	3.8 %		1.2 %	
48075	70.7 %	497.8 %	1.0 %	
48076	42.1 %	296.4 %	1.1 %	
48093	1.5 %		1.0 %	
48108	16.8 %	118.3 %	4.0 %	121.2 %
48126	1.4 %		3.1 %	
48152	1.4 %		1.8 %	
48185	6.2 %		2.5 %	
48205	84.4 %	594.3 %	0.8 %	
48219	85.0 %	598.5 %	1.0 %	
48224	79.2 %	557.7 %	1.1 %	
48235	96.3 %	678.1 %	0.6 %	
48302	6.0 %		1.3 %	
48310	1.2 %		1.2 %	
48310	1.2 %		1.2 %	
48312	1.7 %		1.2 %	
48322	6.5 %		1.4 %	
48346	1.1 %		2.9 %	
48504	66.7 %	469.7 %	2.0 %	
48603	5.4 %		4.2 %	127.2 %

**MICHIGAN** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
48906	13.1 %		12.7 %	384.8 %
48917	8.9 %		4.9 %	148.4 %
49120	6.3 %		2.4 %	
49442	22.9 %	161.2 %	5.5 %	166.6 %
49503	22.2 %	156.3 %	15.3 %	463.6 %
49508	13.1 %		4.8 %	145.4 %

Black Population 3.5%  
Hispanic Population 2.9%

**MINNESOTA**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
55101	14.6 %	417.1 %	9.8 %	337.9 %
55402	36.4 %	1040.0 %	2.8 %	
55404	27.9 %	797.1 %	15.6 %	537.9 %
55404	27.9 %	797.1 %	15.6 %	537.9 %
55422	4.8 %	137.1 %	2.0 %	
55802	1.9 %		0.9 %	

Black Population 36.3%  
Hispanic Population 1.4%

**MISSISSIPPI**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
39216	35.3 %		0.5 %	

Black Population 11.2%  
Hispanic Population 2.1%

**MISSOURI**

[ There are no independent facilities in this state. ]

**MONTANA**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
59047	0.3 %		1.9 %	
59802	0.3 %		1.8 %	
59901	0.2 %		1.4 %	

Black Population 0.3%  
Hispanic Population 2.0%

**NEBRASKA**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
68005	6.3 %	157.5 %	5.1 %	

Black Population 6.8%  
Hispanic Population 19.7%

**NEVADA**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
89030	18.9 %	277.9 %	62.9 %	319.2 %
89074 *				

\* Statistics for this ZIP code were not available.

**NEVADA** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
89104	6.7 %		34.8 %	176.6 %
89119	8.3 %	122.0 %	31.7 %	160.9 %
89169 *				
89502	2.5 %		33.6 %	170.5 %

Black Population 0.7%  
Hispanic Population 1.7%

**NEW HAMPSHIRE**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
03103	2.5 %	357.1 %	6.9 %	405.8 %
03301	1.2 %	171.4 %	1.5 %	
03840	0.3 %		0.7 %	

Black Population 13.6%  
Hispanic Population 13.3%

**NEW JERSEY**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
07018	90.9 %	668.3 %	4.1 %	
07024	1.7 %		7.9 %	
07042	43.5 %	319.8 %	5.7 %	
07052	17.4 %	127.9 %	10.0 %	
07060	34.6 %	254.4 %	29.9 %	224.8 %
07083	15.5 %	113.9 %	9.3 %	
07087	3.6 %		75.3 %	566.1 %
07095	8.1 %		9.8 %	
07208	19.0 %	139.7 %	42.7 %	321.0 %
07306	15.9 %	116.9 %	29.0 %	218.0 %
07601	24.6 %	180.8 %	25.9 %	194.7 %
07631	39.0 %	286.7 %	21.8 %	163.9 %
07631	39.0 %	286.7 %	21.8 %	163.9 %
07631	39.0 %	286.7 %	21.8 %	163.9 %
07652	1.1 %		4.9 %	
07657	0.8 %		13.8 %	103.7 %
07731	3.7 %		5.7 %	
08002	5.5 %		3.5 %	
08034	3.8 %		2.7 %	
08043	8.0 %		2.5 %	
08201	11.3 %		6.3 %	
08540	4.8 %		4.3 %	
08755	2.7 %		4.3 %	
08816	2.8 %		4.2 %	
08865	2.2 %		3.7 %	
08873	28.3 %	208.3 %	8.8 %	

Black Population 1.9%  
Hispanic Population 42.1%

**NEW MEXICO**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
87102	4.2 %	221.0 %	68.8 %	163.4 %
87102	4.2 %	221.0 %	68.8 %	163.4 %
87505	0.7 %		52.5 %	124.7 %
88008	1.0 %		73.6 %	174.8 %

**NEW YORK**

Black Population 15.9%  
Hispanic Population 15.1%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
10001	9.8 %		20.1 %	133.1 %
10003	4.4 %		7.6 %	
10013	4.8 %		4.7 %	
10016	5.2 %		7.9 %	
10017	3.4 %		5.4 %	
10017	3.4 %		5.4 %	
10017	3.4 %		5.4 %	
10022	1.2 %		4.8 %	
10023	5.5 %		8.3 %	
10024	5.9 %		11.1 %	
10128	4.9 %		8.7 %	
10451	46.1 %	289.9 %	55.0 %	364.2 %
10455	27.6 %	173.5 %	74.7 %	494.7 %
10455	27.6 %	173.5 %	74.7 %	494.7 %
10457	36.2 %	227.6 %	61.9 %	409.9 %
10458	23.2 %	145.9 %	58.8 %	389.4 %
10461	4.9 %		26.0 %	172.1 %
10461	4.9 %		26.0 %	172.1 %
10605	7.7 %		11.9 %	
10710	10.9 %		11.2 %	
10950	1.7 %		5.5 %	
11030	1.2 %		3.4 %	
11101	25.2 %	158.4 %	35.7 %	236.4 %
11201	20.7 %	130.1 %	14.4 %	
11201	20.7 %	130.1 %	14.4 %	
11201	20.7 %	130.1 %	14.4 %	
11232	6.0 %		64.0 %	423.8 %
11234	38.8 %	244.0 %	7.0 %	
11237	10.1 %		79.6 %	527.1 %
11354	4.4 %		18.9 %	125.1 %
11364	2.0 %		7.7 %	
11372	2.9 %		56.4 %	373.5 %
11372	2.9 %		56.4 %	373.5 %
11372	2.9 %		56.4 %	373.5 %
11372	2.9 %		56.4 %	373.5 %
11372	2.9 %		56.4 %	373.5 %
11372	2.9 %		56.4 %	373.5 %
11373	2.0 %		43.1 %	285.4 %
11374	2.6 %		13.1 %	
11375	2.5 %		10.2 %	
11375	2.5 %		10.2 %	
11417	4.1 %		28.1 %	186.0 %
11746	6.8 %		12.7 %	
11787	0.7 %		3.4 %	
11788	1.3 %		4.7 %	
13057	1.2 %		1.0 %	
13057	1.2 %		1.0 %	
13851*				
14214	37.5 %	235.8 %	2.8 %	
14228	5.7 %		1.8 %	
14618	2.3 %		1.7 %	
14620	14.1 %		5.1 %	
14620	14.1 %		5.1 %	

\* Statistics for this ZIP code were not available.

**NORTH CAROLINA** Black Population 21.6%  
Hispanic Population 4.7%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
27262	21.1 %		6.7 %	142.5 %
27406	53.1 %	245.8 %	2.4 %	
27407	25.2 %	116.6 %	6.9 %	146.8 %
27514	9.2 %		3.3 %	
27606	13.3 %		6.5 %	138.2 %
27607	11.0 %		4.6 %	
27609	14.9 %		9.2 %	195.7 %
28211	12.1 %		3.3 %	
28211	12.1 %		3.3 %	
28273	28.5 %	131.9 %	6.0 %	127.6 %
28306	24.6 %	113.8 %	4.5 %	
28546	24.5 %	113.4 %	7.6 %	161.7 %
28801	44.8 %	207.4 %	2.5 %	

**NORTH DAKOTA** Black Population 0.6%  
Hispanic Population 1.2%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
58102	0.8 %	133.3 %	1.3 %	108.3 %

**OHIO** Black Population 11.5%  
Hispanic Population 1.9%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
43205	81.6 %	709.5 %	1.5 %	
43214	2.9 %		1.2 %	
43231	18.8 %	163.4 %	2.9 %	152.6 %
43604	39.7 %	345.2 %	10.7 %	563.1 %
43612	5.6 %		4.4 %	231.5 %
44109	7.0 %		19.7 %	1036.8 %
44120	76.3 %	663.4 %	1.1 %	
44304	30.4 %	264.3 %	2.3 %	121.0 %
45241	3.6 %		1.1 %	
45324	6.7 %		1.7 %	
45429	1.0 %		1.0 %	

**OKLAHOMA** Black Population 7.6%  
Hispanic Population 5.2%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
73069	3.2 %		4.9 %	
73132	13.8 %	181.5 %	5.7 %	109.6 %
74135	6.6 %		5.7 %	109.6 %

**OREGON** Black Population 1.6%  
Hispanic Population 8.0%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
97116	0.4 %		15.4 %	192.5 %
97205	5.3 %	331.2 %	5.1 %	
97210	1.6 %		3.0 %	
97401	1.5 %		3.9 %	

**PENNSYLVANIA** Black Population 10.0%  
Hispanic Population 3.2%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
15206	51.2 %	512.0 %	1.5 %	
15206	51.2 %	512.0 %	1.5 %	
15222	24.8 %	248.0 %	1.1 %	
17110	35.5 %	355.0 %	3.8 %	118.7 %
18104	1.6 %		2.7 %	
18109*				
19013	75.8 %	758.0 %	5.2 %	162.5 %
19106	6.3 %		2.9 %	
19141	83.3 %	833.0 %	2.5 %	

**RHODE ISLAND** Black Population 4.5%  
Hispanic Population 8.7%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
02905	18.5 %	411.1 %	26.5 %	304.5 %

**SOUTH CAROLINA** Black Population 29.5%  
Hispanic Population 2.4%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
29407	30.7 %	104.0 %	1.6 %	
29605	46.0 %	155.9 %	2.3 %	

**SOUTH DAKOTA** Black Population 0.6%  
Hispanic Population 1.4%

[ There are no independent facilities in this state. ]

**TENNESSEE** Black Population 16.4%  
Hispanic Population 2.2%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
37211	18.7 %	114.0 %	10.0 %	454.5 %
37620	2.3 %		0.6 %	
37643	1.1 %		0.9 %	
37916	7.4 %		1.4 %	
37919	4.3 %		1.9 %	
38104	27.5 %	167.6 %	3.4 %	154.5 %
38104	27.5 %	167.6 %	3.4 %	154.5 %

**TEXAS** Black Population 11.5%  
Hispanic Population 32.0%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
75205	1.6 %		6.2 %	
75231	27.2 %	236.5 %	40.5 %	126.5 %
75235	9.9 %		70.3 %	219.6 %
75243	33.9 %	294.7 %	14.4 %	
76108	4.3 %		12.6 %	
76110	4.8 %		62.8 %	196.2 %
76542	25.8 %	224.3 %	14.8 %	

\* Statistics for this ZIP code were not available.

**TEXAS** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
77002	40.6 %	353.0 %	22.0 %	
77004	72.4 %	629.5 %	11.8 %	
77008	4.2 %		43.2 %	135.0 %
77026	67.6 %	587.8 %	31.1 %	
77057	4.7 %		22.6 %	
77074	20.4 %	177.3 %	43.2 %	135.0 %
77074	20.4 %	177.3 %	43.2 %	135.0 %
77076	8.0 %		72.3 %	225.9 %
77090	17.5 %	152.1 %	18.4 %	
77098	2.2 %		17.8 %	
77401	1.0 %		7.9 %	
77707	23.9 %	207.8 %	7.2 %	
78212	2.5 %		61.0 %	190.6 %
78212	2.5 %		61.0 %	190.6 %
78222	22.4 %	194.7 %	44.0 %	137.5 %
78238	4.7 %		59.9 %	187.1 %
78240	5.3 %		42.5 %	132.8 %
78240	5.3 %		42.5 %	132.8 %
78404	1.9 %		64.6 %	201.8 %
78501	0.5 %		84.0 %	262.5 %
78550	0.9 %		74.3 %	232.1 %
78704	4.9 %		34.0 %	106.2 %
78750	2.8 %		9.8 %	
78753	18.7 %	162.6 %	38.5 %	120.3 %
79902	1.5 %		76.1 %	237.8 %
79902	1.5 %		76.1 %	237.8 %

Black Population 0.8%  
Hispanic Population 9.0%

**UTAH**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
84107	1.1 %	137.5 %	8.9 %	
84111	3.4 %	425.0 %	23.1 %	256.6 %
84124	0.5 %		3.6 %	

Black Population 0.5%  
Hispanic Population 0.9%

**VERMONT**

[ There are no independent facilities in this state. ]

**VIRGINIA**

Black Population 19.6%  
Hispanic Population 4.7%

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
20110	12.4 %		14.2 %	302.1 %
22030	7.5 %		9.7 %	206.3 %
22031	5.5 %		13.8 %	293.6 %
22046	3.3 %		10.8 %	229.7 %
22304	24.4 %	124.4 %	15.0 %	319.1 %
22314	22.4 %	114.2 %	5.1 %	108.5 %
22901	11.6 %		3.1 %	
23220	44.6 %	227.5 %	1.9 %	
23229	5.0 %		1.9 %	

**VIRGINIA** [ continued ]

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
23452	17.9 %		4.3 %	
23502	48.2 %	245.9 %	3.2 %	
23518	23.8 %	121.4 %	4.1 %	
23601	25.1 %	128.0 %	2.9 %	
24016	45.4 %	231.6 %	2.4 %	

Black Population 3.2%  
Hispanic Population 7.5%

**WASHINGTON**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
98057 *				
98101	10.5 %	328.1 %	5.1 %	
98104	20.0 %	625.0 %	7.2 %	
98115	1.9 %		3.0 %	
98122	25.0 %	781.2 %	6.9 %	
98122	25.0 %	781.2 %	6.9 %	
98405	21.7 %	678.1 %	6.0 %	
98418	11.2 %	350.0 %	6.9 %	

Black Population 3.2%  
Hispanic Population 0.7%

**WEST VIRGINIA**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
25302	13.3 %	415.6 %	0.5 %	
25304	6.5 %	203.1 %	1.0 %	142.8 %

Black Population 5.7%  
Hispanic Population 3.6%

**WISCONSIN**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
53202	8.8 %	154.3 %	3.4 %	
54301	3.9 %		5.2 %	144.4 %
54303	1.7 %		3.6 %	

Black Population 0.8%  
Hispanic Population 6.4%

**WYOMING**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
83001	0.2 %		8.1 %	126.5 %

Black Population 60.0%  
Hispanic Population 7.9%

**WASHINGTON, DC**

ZIP	BLACK	COMP	HISPANIC	COMP
20020	96.5 %	160.8 %	0.9 %	
20032	97.0 %	161.6 %	0.8 %	
20037	7.1 %		5.6 %	

\* Statistics for this ZIP code were not available.

## Analysis & Conclusion

It is important to remember that every time there is a figure in the “**COMP**” column, that figure represents a population control facility in a ZIP code that is disproportionately black, disproportionately Hispanic or, in many cases, both.

The fact is that even a cursory scan of those columns takes the allegations of racial targeting made in *Maafa 21* and transforms them into an observable and undeniable reality.

The numbers are staggering. As just one example, consider Texas which has 94 Zip codes with at least one population control facility. Of those, only 22 are not disproportionately black and/or Hispanic.

As the charts demonstrate, similar patterns are found across the country and they do not vary appreciably by the size of the state. In Connecticut – a state thoroughly dissimilar from Texas in size, culture and geography – there are 21 Zip codes in which population control facilities are located and only six are not disproportionately black and/or Hispanic.

It is also important to note the number of times there are multiple facilities in disproportionately minority ZIP codes. For example, in New Jersey, ZIP code 07631 has a black population 286.7% of the state's overall percentage and a Hispanic population 163.9% of the state. That ZIP code alone has four population control facilities. Similarly, Minnesota ZIP code 55404 has a black population that is 797.1% of the overall state percentage and a Hispanic population 537.9% of the state. In that ZIP code there are three population control centers.

Again, this pattern is repeated in state after state. We identified 116 ZIP codes with more than one population control facility. Of those, 84 were disproportionately black and/or Hispanic. What this means is that, when the American family planning industry places multiple facilities in a ZIP code, that ZIP code is more than two-and-a-half times as likely to be disproportionately minority as not.

What we now know – and have documented – is that there is not one state in the union without population control centers located in ZIP codes with higher percentages of blacks and/or Hispanics than the state's overall percentage. In fact, Hawaii is the only state that does not have facilities located in ZIP codes exceeding 125% of their overall percentage.

Not only is this racial targeting widespread, it's scale is often enormous. We found 42 states with family planning facilities in ZIP codes where the black and/or Hispanic populations exceeds 200% of the state's overall percentage. More telling is that these percentages routinely go far beyond even this level. Numerous states have facilities in ZIP codes that range from 250% to well over 1,000% and it is not uncommon for them to have facilities located in many such ZIP codes.

In the end, this data speaks for itself and does not require a lot of analysis. The numbers make it clear that the African-American and Hispanic communities have been targeted and logic makes it clear that this did not happen coincidentally or unintentionally.

It should also be noted that this eugenics effort is being funded with taxpayer money. Currently, Planned Parenthood alone receives approximately one million dollars a day from the federal government plus an unknown amount in state and local funds. Moreover, this has been going on for decades.

For years, there have been those within the American political system who have taken action to address the disproportionate treatment of minorities. Earlier, this issue was raised in the context of alcohol and tobacco marketing but, make no mistake, it exists in many other parts of our culture as well.

Now, this report validates the revelations contained in *Maafa 21* to create a new challenge. The question becomes whether the political leaders who have so righteously spoken out against these other injustices, will remain silent in the face of this one. Should that be their decision, they will surrender their credibility and lose the right to ever again be outraged.

## **Maafa 21 Information**

This 2-hour and 17-minute documentary is available on DVD for \$20 including shipping.


To place an order, call toll-free or go online.

**1 | 800 | 800-5433**  
**Maafa21.com**

This website also features a short trailer of the film plus comments from previous viewers.

For additional information, call

**940 | 380-8800**



Copyright 2011

**Life Dynamics Incorporated**

Post Office Box 2226

Denton, Texas

76202

940 | 380-8800

---

*This report was funded by the supporters  
of Life Dynamics Incorporated.*

*Life Dynamics Incorporated is a  
501(c)(3) corporation and  
donations may be  
tax deductible.*